Data Points

Issue 23 October 3, 2002

"Date of Birth" and "Sex" Not Required in Event Data Set NSF

Fields CA0-8 (Date of Birth) and CA0-9 (Sex) in the Event Data Set (NSF) were incorrectly marked as "Required" in the Claim Header Record layout table on page E-6 in the FY2003 Submission Guide. These fields are not required; clients' birth dates and genders are gathered from the Client Data Set (fields 4 and 5). We have updated the submission guide on-line, and the latest version can be seen at http://dmhmrs.chr.state.ky.us/CMHC/cmhc data guide.asp.

Notes from October JCIC Meeting

Reminder: At the last JCIC meeting, centers were asked to e-mail Greg Coulter regarding the following:

- We need a pseudo client ID number to use for Miscellaneous Purchases of Services (FA0-12, code 24) and of Goods (code 25). The original suggestion was to use all zeros, but each center needs to verify that this will not cause any programming problems.
- There is now a need to differentiate between Victims/Perpetrators of Physical Abuse and Victims/Perpetrators of Domestic Violence. The options are to add two new Domestic Violence fields to the client data set or to add new codes to the existing Physical Abuse fields (Client fields 49 & 51). Please let us know which would work best for your center. If we add new codes to field 49, for example, the proposed codes would be:
 - o 0 No (client is neither a Victim of Physical Abuse nor a Victim of Domestic Violence)
 - 1 Physical Abuse (client is a Victim of Physical Abuse)
 - o 2 Domestic Violence (client is a Victim of Domestic Violence)
 - 3 Both (client is a Victim of both Physical Abuse and Domestic Violence)
 - 9 Unknown / Not Collected
- Please help determine a method to uniquely identify each Event record so centers can change and/or delete single records without having to resubmit the entire month's data. Currently, a systemgenerated number, unknown to the centers, is added to each Event record to make the record unique. The remaining fields used to identify each Event record are:
 - o Region Number
 - Patient Control Number (same as Client ID) (CA0-03)
 - Service from Date (FA0-05)
 - o DMHMRS Modifier 1 (FA0-12)
 - Provider Number (Site ID) (CA0-28)
 - Rendering Professional ID (FA0-23)
 - Place of Service (FA0-07)
 - Source of Pay 1 (Prime Payor) (DA0-05)

These fields alone are not enough to make the record unique, which is why the system-generated number was added.

We are seeking volunteers to serve on committees. Please indicate which one(s) you want to join;

- <u>CPT codes</u> to validate which codes to use; to investigate which codes to use in place of the codes that have been deleted; to cross-reference the CPT codes to the DMHMRS Modifiers.
- <u>Place of Service</u> to determine the best method of replacing the Place of Service codes 35 39 and 50, which are not acceptable under the HIPAA coding structure; one suggested method is to replace the DMHMRS Modifier code 93 with an expanded list. (NOTE: this expansion may require adding alpha characters to the valid code set. Are all centers able to handle alpha codes in this field without programming changes?)
- Multnomah forms to review with Hope Barrett the submission of this information via "bubble forms" and (in the near future) via PCs; if centers are going to be held accountable for this information, how will they be able to verify it before it is sent to RDMC?

RDMC agreed to investigate the **exact definitions of Asian and Pacific Islander**. Here are the U.S. Census definitions:

<u>Asian</u>: Chinese, Filipino, Japanese, Asian Indian, Korean, Vietnamese, Cambodian, Hmong, Laotian, Thai.

<u>Pacific Islander</u>: Polynesian, Hawaiian, Samoan, Tongan, Micronesian, Guamanian

RDMC also agreed to confirm the Veteran status of the National Guard (that have not been called to federal duty) and methods to encrypt the Social Security Numbers in the HIPAA format. Answers to these and other questions will be in future issues of *Data Points*.